## **Poster Assignment Evaluation**

Name:

HIST 109: U.S. History: 1865-Present (Fall 2019)

Posters are evaluated based on the criteria in the assignment guidelines (copied on page 2 below). Components in each category are given a rating based on a scale from 0 to 10, with explanations provided below.

- 0-4 = "fails to meet expectations" (F)
- ❖ 5-6 = "approaching expectations" (D or C)
- ❖ 7-8 = "meeting expectations" (B or A-)
- 9-10 = "exceeds expectations" (A+)

question do criteria and content or t	not mee do not co	t assignn		5 The topic, rese	6	7			
question do criteria and content or t	not mee do not co	t assignn		The topic, rese		,	8	9	10
The topic, research focus, and question do not meet assignment criteria and do not connect to course content or themes; important components are missing or left undeveloped.				The topic, research focus and question are reasonable, but connections to course content are underdeveloped; some components are missing.		The topic, research focus, and question are reasonable and well developed. Connections to course content could be more fully or clearly articulated or be made more explicit.		The topic, research focus, and question clearly align with the assignment guidelines and course content, are fully and coherently developed, and reflect sophisticated engagement with course material and themes.	
Interpreta	ation ar	nd Evid	ence (30	0%)					/30
0 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
The poster does not make use of relevant primary sources and/or there is no clear connection between the sources consulted and the focus of the poster; the poster does not make any comparison with secondary source interpretations.				An insufficient number of primary sources are used and/or connections need to be more explicitly developed. There is no clear attempt to incorporate secondary source interpretations.		A sufficient number of primary sources are consulted and are reasonably integrated into the poster presentation. The poster also makes clear connections between primary sources and secondary interpretations.		The poster makes impressive and sophisticated use of substantial primary source evidence and examples in exploring an important topic; it makes clear, direct, & relevant connections to existing scholarly interpretations.	
Presentat	tion and	l Forma	atting (2	0%)					/2
0 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
The poster does not conform to the assignment criteria. Important components are missing or ignored. The poster fails to attract, interest, or engage the audience.				The poster meets a minimum of the assignment guidelines, but some criteria are missing or ignored. The poster is reasonably attractive and engaging.		The poster follows most of the assignment guidelines with only a few minor errors or omissions. The poster is presented in an attractive and engaging manner.		The poster meets or exceeds all expectations; it is highly attractive, interesting, and engaging; it is free of errors in spelling and grammar; references are correctly formatted.	
Peer Feed	dback P	rocess	(20%)						/2
0 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
No proposal was submitted, and there was limited or no participation in peer feedback process.				A proposal was submitted, but some aspects of the feedback process were not completed or lacked sufficient detail and specificity to be useful to the receiver.		A proposal was submitted and all elements of the feedback process were completed. Feedback provided to peers was reasonably thoughtful and constructive.		A coherent and thoughtful proposa was submitted on time; there was active participation in the feedback process; feedback provided to peer was thoughtful, constructive, detailed, and highly specific.	

**Final Grade:** 

/20